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## Motivation

- Asymptotically flat BPS black holes have triggered great advances in our understanding of BH entropy and string theory in general
- Black holes in $\operatorname{AdS}_{d+1}$ should in principle admit a study from the dual $\mathrm{CFT}_{d}$ but BPS black holes have proved to be rare finds.
- Gutowski-Reall BPS rotating black hole in 5d and various limits of the Plebanski-Demianski solution in 4d
- Motivated in part by search for ground states for AdS/CMT
- Can we construct supersymmetric black holes in AdS space much like their flat space cousins? Multiple centers requires running scalar fields
- Could they be related to a topological string on flux backgrounds


## Four Dimensional Black Holes in Einstein-Maxwell Theory

Demianski-Plebanski (1976) produced a solution of Einstein-Maxwell theory which unified all previous known black holes with the following parameters:

- $\wedge$ : cosmological constant
- $M$ : mass
- $N$ : NUT charge
- $P$ : magnetic charge
- Q: electric charge
- J: angular momentum
- a: acceleration

In addition there is a parameter $\kappa=\{-1,0,1\}$ for the curvature of the horizon $\left\{\mathbb{H}^{2} / \Gamma, \mathbb{R}^{2} / \Gamma^{\prime}, S^{2}\right\}$

TABLE I
Known exact solutions of the Einstein and Einstein-Maxwell Equations of type D

|  $m+i n, a+i b, e+i g, \lambda$  <br> $m+i n, a, e+i g, \lambda$ $m+i n, a+i b, e+i g$ $m+i n, b, e+i g, \lambda$ <br> Plcbanski [3] Kinnersley $[2]$  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} m+i n, a, e \lambda \\ \text { Carter [11] } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m+i n, a, e+i g \\ & \quad \text { Demianski, Newman [12] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} m+i n, a+i b, \lambda \\ \quad \text { Carter [11] } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} m+i n, b, e, \lambda \\ \text { Carter [11] } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} m+i n, a, \lambda \\ \text { Frolov [22] } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} m+i n, a+i b \\ \quad \text { Kinnersley }[13] \end{gathered}$ | $m+i n, b, e$ <br> Levi-Civita [4] <br> Newman, Tamburino [5] Robinson, Trautman [6] Ehlers, Kundt [5] |
| $m, a, \lambda$  <br> Demianski [14] $m, a, e$ <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Pewman et. $[10]$ <br> Ernst [25] $[26]$ | $m+i n, a$ <br> Demianski [15] <br> Kramer, <br> Neugebauer [24] <br> Robinson, J. Robinson Zund [27] | $\begin{gathered} m+i n, e \\ \quad \text { Brill [23] } \end{gathered}$ | $m+i n, \lambda$ <br> Demianski [16] <br> Frolov [22] |
| $m, \lambda$ $m, e$ <br> Kottler [17] Reisner, <br>  Nordstrom [18] | $\begin{aligned} & m, a \\ & \quad \text { Kerr [9] } \end{aligned}$ | $m+i n$ <br> Newman, <br> Tamburino, <br> Unti [19] <br> Taub [21] | $e, b$ <br> Bertotti [28] <br> Robinson [29] |
| $\lambda$ de Sitter [30] |  | m <br> Schwarzschild |  |

## DP Solution in Minimal Gauged Sugra:

$$
\{\Lambda, M, Q, P\}
$$

- Minimal gauged sugra: $\left(g_{\mu \nu}, A_{\nu}, \Psi_{\mu}\right)$

The bosonic sector is Einstein-Maxwell theory

- Romans (1992) studied the parameters $(\Lambda, M, Q, P)$ in minimal gauged sugra. In other words, the DP solution with

$$
N=J=a=0
$$

- The metric is

$$
\begin{aligned}
d s_{4}^{2} & =-e^{2 U} d t^{2}+e^{-2 U} d r^{2}+r^{2} d \Omega_{2}^{2} \\
e^{2 U} & =\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(-\frac{\Lambda}{3} r^{4}+r^{2}-2 M r+Z^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Three important issues: BPS, supersymmetry and extremality
- $\mathrm{SUSY} \Rightarrow$ BPS but BPS $\nRightarrow$ SUSY
- $\mathrm{SUSY} \Rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{P}=0 \text { naked singularity } \\ \mathrm{M}=0 \text { naked singularity }\end{array}\right.$


## DP Solution in Minimal Gauged Sugra:

$$
\{\Lambda, M, Q, P, J\}
$$

- Kostelecky-Perry (1995) include rotation into the analysis of Romans, so they have DP solution with

$$
(M, N=0, P, Q, J, a=0)
$$

- They find a BPS bound

$$
M \geq|Z|+g|J|
$$

- Caldarelli-Klemm (1998) checked integrability and found that mag charge must vanish $P=0$
- Nonetheless there exist 4d BPS rotating black holes, regularity of the solutions puts a lower bound on $J$, no static limit.
- BPS bounds in 4d examined by Hristov-Toldo-Van Doren (2012)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=0: M \geq Q+g|J| \\
& P \neq 0: M \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

## DP Solution in Minimal Gauged Sugra $\{\Lambda, M, N, Q, P\}$

- The integrability conditions were computed for the general DP solution Ortin et al. (2000)
- $(M, N, Q, P)$ preserves $S U(2) \times U(1)($ or $S L(2, \mathbb{R}) \times U(1))$
- There are two branches of supersymmetric solutions
- quarter-BPS solutions: susy parameters are singlets under the symmetry of the horizon $\mathfrak{s l}_{2}(\mathbb{R})$, which has no analogue in asymptotically flat space
- half-BPS solutions: susy parameters are doublets, generalizing the asymptotically flat BPS solutions.


## DP Solution in Minimal Gauged Sugra: $\{\Lambda, M, N, Q, P, J, a\}$

- $(J, a)$ break $S U(2) \times U(1) \rightarrow U(1) \times U(1)$
- Killing spinor computed with $M=N$ Martelli, Passias (2013)
- sufficiency of integrability shown Klemm-Ozawa (2013)
- no half-BPS conditions due to breaking of $S U(2)$


## Holography for Demianski-Plebanski

Martelli, Passias, Sparks et al (2011-2013)
In various limits, they

- obtain the Euclidean continuation of the supersymmetric Demianski-Plebanski solution
- compute the four dimensional on-shell action
- compare to the strong coupling limit of the supersymmetric free-energy of ABJM on $M_{3}$, where SUSY is preserved in an $S U(4)$ invariant manner
- $M_{3}$ is a fibration of $S^{1}$ over $S^{2}$ where the fiber is stretched and the $S^{2}$ is squashed
Nishioka (2014)
- computes super-Renyi entropy on $S^{1} \times \Sigma_{g}$ and compares to euclidean on-shell action for DP with $(\Lambda, M, P)$ and $\kappa=-1$


## PD as Deformation of $\mathrm{AdS}_{4}$

It is instructive to consider the PD solution as a deformation of the asymptotic ground state, $\mathrm{AdS}_{4}$ :

Metric Deformations

| $(\ell, m)$ | Non-Normalizable | Normalizable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0)$ | Temp $T$ | Mass $M$ |
| $(1,0)$ | NUT $N$ | $\times$ |
| $(2,0)$ | $\times$ | Rotation $J$ |
| $(3,0)$ | Acceleration $a$ | $\times$ |

Gauge Field Deformations

$$
A \sim \frac{Q}{r} d t+P \cos \theta d \phi
$$

| $(\ell, m)$ | Non-Normalizable | Normalizable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0,0)$ | Constant gauge transformation | Electric Charge $Q$ |
| $(1,0)$ | Magnetic Charge $P$ | $\times$ |

## Black Holes with Scalar Fields

- Duff-Liu (1998): superstars in 4d $\mathcal{N}=8$ gauged sugra. These are singular half-BPS asympt' AdS

$$
\left\{M, q_{\wedge} \mid N=0, q_{\Lambda}=0, J=0, a=0\right\}
$$

- Generalized to non-BPS regular black holes in STU-model Chong-Cvetic-Lu-Pope (2005). They have the solution with

$$
\left\{M, N, q_{0}=q_{1}, q_{2}=q_{3}, J \mid p^{\wedge}=0, a=0\right\}
$$

found by "inspired guesswork" modelled on black holes in ungauged sugra

- Rotating case in Chow-Compere (2013) and Gnecchi,Klemm,Hristov, Toldo, Vaughn (2013)

$$
\left\{M, p^{0}=p^{1}, p^{2}=p^{3}, q_{0}=q_{1}, q_{2}=q_{3}, J \mid a=0\right\}
$$

- Static case developed further in Chow-Compere (2013) with

$$
\left\{M, p^{\wedge}, q_{\wedge} \mid N=0, J=0, a=0\right\}
$$

## Regular SUSY Black Holes with Scalar Fields

- A substantial development was Cacciatori-Klemm (2009) who considered the STU model of $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauged supergravity with the metric ansatz

$$
\begin{aligned}
d s_{4}^{2} & =-e^{2 U} d t^{2}+e^{-2 U} d r^{2}+e^{2(V-U)} d \Sigma_{g}^{2} \\
e^{V} & =r\left(\frac{r}{R}+v_{0}\right), \quad v_{0}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Recall that in the DP solution

$$
g_{t t} g_{\theta \theta}=P_{4}(r)
$$

a fourth order polynomial in $r$. The CK solution has

$$
P_{4}(r)=P_{2}(r)^{2}
$$

- The CK solution has four magnetic charges and one constraint from Dirac quantization
- For the one-modulus example $F=-X^{0} X^{1}$ and $q_{\Lambda}=0$, Colleoni-Klemm (2013) have included NUT charge


## Wrapped Branes

- To give perspective to these solutions of Cacciatori-Klemm one looks to the work of Maldacena-Nunez (2000)
- Conformal M2 brane theory has $S O$ (8) (BLG) or $S U(4) \times U(1)$ R-symmetry $(A B J M)$
- We can study this field theory on $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_{g}$ and supersymmetry is preserved by twisting
- The Cartan subgroup of the R-symmetry group is $U(1)^{4}$ and we twist by $U(1)$, structure group of $\Sigma_{g}$
- equivalent to twisting four line bundles over $\Sigma_{g}$, the four Chern numbers must satisfy

$$
\sum_{\Lambda=0}^{3} p^{\wedge}=2-2 g
$$

to preserve the $C Y_{5}$ condition and thus supersymmetry

- M5-branes wrapped on $\Sigma_{g}$ give $\mathrm{AdS}_{7}$ black 3-branes which are known numerically. The dual SCFT has $\mathcal{N}=2$ Gaiotto (2009) or $\mathcal{N}=1$ Bah-Beem-Bobev-Wecht (2012) in four dimensions
- D3-branes wrapped on $\Sigma_{g}$ give $\mathrm{AdS}_{5}$ black strings. The solutions are known numerically, recently the dual $(0,2)$ SCFT was studied and its central charge computed Benini-Bobev (2013). Constant scalar black string is known analytically Chamseddine-Sabra (2001)
- D4-D8 system wrapped on $\Sigma_{g}$ gives $\mathrm{AdS}_{6}$ black 2-branes, Nunez et al (2001)
- Numerous more complicated AdS black objects are known with more elaborate embeddings into string/M-theory.
- Gauged sugra with hypermultiplets Halmagyi, Petrini, Zaffaroni (2013) lifts to M2 - M5 bound state wrapped


## M-theory lift

In one particular duality frame the STU model has prepotential

$$
F=-2 i \sqrt{X^{0} X^{1} X^{2} X^{3}}
$$

and is a consistent truncation of the $\mathrm{N}=8$, de-Wit Nicolai theory Cvetic et al (1999). This in turn is a consistent truncation of M-theory on $S^{7}$

- M: tension of M2 branes
- $N$ : D6 branes in IIA, squashing of bdy $M_{3}$ and or orbifolding
- $p^{\wedge}$ : quantized twists of $S^{7}$ over $\Sigma_{g}$
- $q_{\Lambda}$ : rotation along $U(1)^{4}$ of $S^{7}$
- J: rotation in 4d spacetime
- a: squashing of boundary $M_{3}$

The CK solutions have $q_{\Lambda}=0$, we would like the supersymmetric solution for general $\left(p^{\wedge}, q_{\wedge}, N, M\right)$

## Symplectic Covariance

- Dall'agata and Gnecchi (2010) present a duality covariant formulation of static black holes in $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauged sugra

$$
\mathcal{G}=\binom{g^{\Lambda}}{g_{\Lambda}}, \quad \mathcal{Q}=\binom{p^{\Lambda}}{q_{\Lambda}}
$$

- The formalism transforms covariantly (not invariantly) under $S p\left(2 n_{v}+2, \mathbb{R}\right)$

$$
\Lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}\left(2 n_{v}+2, \mathbb{R}\right), \quad \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Lambda \mathcal{G}, \quad \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \Lambda \mathcal{Q}
$$

- if there is a prepotential it transforms non-linearly
- $\mathcal{G}$ specifies the theory, $\mathcal{Q}$ specifies a selection sector of the vacuum. Acting with $\Lambda$ generates a different but equivalent theory.
- The STU which embeds into M-theory has

$$
\mathcal{G}=\binom{g^{\wedge}}{g_{\Lambda}}, \quad g^{\wedge}=-\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
g \\
g \\
g
\end{array}\right), \quad g_{\Lambda}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
g \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

There exists a one parameter deformation of the $S O(8)$ de-Wit Nicolai theory. On the truncation to the STU-model $\omega$ corresponds to a symplectic rotation of $\mathcal{G}$ by Lu-Pang-Pope (2014)

$$
\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\omega} \cdot \mathcal{G}, \quad \mathcal{O}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbb{1} \cos \omega & \mathbb{1} \sin \omega \\
-\mathbb{1} \sin \omega & \mathbb{1} \cos \omega
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Very Special Geometry

- We consider theories where $\mathcal{M}_{v}$ is a very special geometry

$$
F=-d_{i j k} \frac{X^{i} X^{j} X^{k}}{X^{0}}
$$

- $\mathcal{M}_{v}$ is a symmetric space
- sporadic cases

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{S U(1,1)}{U(1)}\right]^{2}, \quad\left[\frac{S U(1,1)}{U(1)}\right]^{3}, \quad \frac{S p(6)}{U(3)}, \quad \frac{E_{7}}{E_{6} \otimes U(1)}} \\
& \frac{S U(3,3)}{S U(3) \otimes S U(3) \otimes U(1)}, \quad \frac{S O(12)}{S U(6) \otimes U(1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- infinite series

$$
\frac{S U(1,1)}{U(1)} \otimes \frac{S O(P+2,2)}{S O(P) \otimes S O(2)}
$$

- Several more infinite families if one allows for homogeneous spaces Van Proeyen/de Wit (1992)


## Static Black Holes and Special Geometry

The metric ansatz is

$$
d s_{4}^{2}=-e^{2 U}(d t+2 N \cos \theta d \phi)^{2}+e^{-2 U} d r^{2}+e^{2(V-U)} d \Sigma_{g}^{2}
$$

The BPS Equations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 e^{V} \partial_{r}(\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}) & =I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}, \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}})+2 N \kappa \mathcal{G} r-\mathcal{Q} \\
\partial_{r}\left(e^{V}\right) & =2\langle\mathcal{G}, \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\rangle \\
3 N \kappa e^{V}+2\langle\mathcal{Q}, \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\rangle & =-2 e^{v}\left\langle\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}, \partial_{r}(\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}})\right\rangle+4 N_{\kappa r}\langle\mathcal{G}, \operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\rangle \\
\langle\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}\rangle & =-\kappa \quad(\in \mathbb{Z} \text { Dirac Qu. })
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}=e^{V-U} e^{-i \psi} \mathcal{V}$

- the scalar fields only appear through $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$
- The $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$ limit reproduces the flat space attractor equations
- from $\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ and $e^{V}$ we can obtain $e^{U}$ and $\operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ (and thus $z^{i}$ )

$$
e^{4(V-U)}=\frac{3}{2} I_{4}(\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}), \quad \quad \operatorname{Re} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}=2 e^{2(U-V)} I_{4}^{\prime}(\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}})
$$

## Quartic Invariant

- The quartic invariant is known from flat space BPS black holes

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{4}(\mathcal{Q})=-\left(p^{\wedge} q_{\Lambda}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{16} p^{0} \widehat{d}^{i j k} q_{i} q_{j} q_{k}+4 q_{0} d_{i j k} p^{i} p^{j} p^{k}+\frac{9}{16} d_{i j k} \widehat{d}^{i l m} p^{j} p^{k} q_{l} q_{m} \\
\widehat{d}^{i j k}=\frac{g^{i m} g^{j n} g^{k p} d_{m n p}}{\left(d_{r s t} y^{r} y^{s} y^{t}\right)^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- this gives a four index symmetric tensor

$$
t^{M N R S}=\partial^{M} \partial^{N} \partial^{R} \partial^{S} I_{4}(\mathcal{Q}), \quad \partial^{M}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathcal{Q}_{M}}
$$

- then we define the derivative of this tensor

$$
I_{4}^{\prime}(A, B, C)_{M}=\Omega_{M N} t^{N Q R S} A_{Q} B_{R} C_{S}
$$

and

$$
I_{4}(A, B, C, D)=t^{M N Q R} A_{M} B_{N} C_{Q} D_{R}
$$

- The $\mathrm{AdS}_{4}$ entropy is given by

$$
R_{\mathrm{AdS}_{4}}^{-4}=I_{4}(\mathcal{G})
$$

## Horizon Equations

- Metric

$$
d s_{4}^{2}=R_{1}^{2} d s_{A d s_{2}}^{2}+R_{2}^{2} d \Sigma_{g}^{2}
$$

- Define the complexified charges

$$
\mathfrak{p}^{\wedge}=p^{\wedge}+i R_{2}^{2} g^{\wedge}, \quad \mathfrak{q}_{\Lambda}=q_{\Lambda}+i R_{2}^{2} g_{\Lambda}
$$

and the quantities

$$
\Pi_{j}=d_{i j k} \mathfrak{p}^{k} \mathfrak{p}^{k}-\frac{1}{3} \mathfrak{p}^{0} \mathfrak{q}_{i}
$$

- the scalar fields are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{i} & =\frac{\mathfrak{p}^{i}+\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}}^{i}}{\mathfrak{p}^{0}} \\
\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}}^{i} & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\widehat{d}^{i j k} \Pi_{j} \Pi_{k}}{\sqrt{\widehat{d}^{I m n} \Pi_{l} \Pi_{m} \Pi_{n}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The radii can also be solved for

$$
e^{i \psi} \frac{R_{2}^{2}}{R_{1}}=\frac{\mathfrak{p}^{0}}{2 i e^{K / 2}}-6 e^{K / 2} d_{i j k} \overline{\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}}^{i} y^{j} y^{k}
$$

- Finally the radius $R_{2}$ from which we get the entropy, is found from the solution to

$$
I_{4}(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}})=0, \quad \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}=\binom{\mathfrak{p}^{\wedge}}{\mathfrak{q}_{\wedge}}
$$

which gives

$$
R_{2}^{4}=\frac{I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) \pm \sqrt{I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})^{2}-I_{4}(\mathcal{G}) I_{4}(\mathcal{Q})}}{I_{4}(\mathcal{G})}
$$

- there is one constraint

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & I_{4}(\mathcal{Q}) I_{4}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})^{2}+I_{4}(\mathcal{G}) I_{4}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{G})^{2} \\
& -I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comments

- The charges are large in Planck units but not in AdS units.
- The large entropy comes from the large $\mathrm{AdS}_{4}$ radius
- For the STU-model this of course comes from the large number of M2-branes
- We can do better and solve the whole black hole...


## Static $\frac{1}{4}$-BPS Black Holes with Dyonic Charges

N.H. and Alessandra Gnecchi 1312.2766
N.H. 1408.2831
N.H. and Harold Erbin 1502.XXXX

Pair of real double roots

$$
e^{2 V}=r^{2}\left(\frac{r}{R_{A d S_{4}}}+\sqrt{V_{2}}\right)^{2}, \quad \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}=\frac{1}{\left\langle\mathcal{G}, A_{1}\right\rangle} A_{1}+r A_{3}
$$

- The whole solution is given by the UV and IR boundary conditions
- The NUT charge is non-normalizable but still subleading in the BPS equations
- BPS equations vastly overdetermined but nonetheless one can find analytic solutions
The UV solution is $\mathrm{AdS}_{4}$

$$
A_{3}=\frac{I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G})}{4 I_{4}(\mathcal{G})^{1 / 4}}, \quad R_{\mathrm{AdS}_{4}}=I_{4}(\mathcal{G})^{-3 / 4}
$$

The IR solution is given by

$$
A_{1}=a_{1} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})+a_{2} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q})+a_{3} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})+a_{4} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{3}=-\frac{a_{3} I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})}{3 I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q})} \\
& a_{3}=\frac{a_{3}}{6} \frac{I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}) I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})^{2}}{I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q})^{2} I_{4}(\mathcal{Q})-I_{4}(\mathcal{G}) I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})^{2}} \\
& a_{3}=\frac{9\left(I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) I_{4}(\mathcal{G})-I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}) I_{4}(\mathcal{Q})\right)}{\left.I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})\left[I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}), I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})\right\rangle+\kappa I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})\right]} \\
& a_{3}=
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we enforced that the IR is of the form $\mathrm{AdS}_{2} \times \Sigma_{g}$ we have one constraint from the horizon solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & I_{4}(\mathcal{Q}) I_{4}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})^{2}+I_{4}(\mathcal{G}) I_{4}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{G})^{2} \\
& -I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q})
\end{aligned}
$$

The metric is given by

$$
v_{2}=\sqrt{2\left\langle\mathcal{G}, A_{1}\right\rangle}
$$

The NUT charge is fixed by the equations between UV and IR

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N \kappa=-\frac{I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q})^{2} I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})}{144 \sqrt{2} I_{4}(\mathcal{G})^{1 / 4}} \times \\
& {\left[18\langle\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}\rangle I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})-\left\langle I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}), I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})\right\rangle\right]^{1 / 2} \times} \\
& {\left[\left(I_{4}(\mathcal{G}) I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})^{2} I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q})^{2} I_{4}(\mathcal{Q})\right)^{2}+16\left[I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}) I_{4}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})\right]^{3}\right]^{1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Single Double Root

- More generally, there are solutions with only a single double root in $e^{2 V}$
- This double root ensures an $\mathrm{AdS}_{2} \times \Sigma_{g}$ IR horizon region
- The ansatz is then

$$
e^{2 V}=r^{2}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{R_{A d S_{4}}^{2}}+v_{3} r+v_{2}\right)
$$

The sections are

$$
\operatorname{Im} \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}=e^{-V}\left[A_{1} r+A_{2} r^{2}+A_{3} r^{3}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=a_{1} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})+a_{2} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q})+a_{3} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})+a_{4} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) \\
& A_{2}=b_{1} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})+b_{2} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q})+b_{3} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})+b_{4} I_{4}^{\prime}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comments

- With a single double root the solution for $A_{2}$ is somewhat complicated but totally explicit
- The NUT charge is then arbitrary, the $b_{i}$ but not the $a_{i}$, depend non-trivially on $N$
- The IR region is still $\mathrm{AdS}_{2} \times \Sigma_{g}$
- When the NUT charge satisfies the previous constraint, the solution will degenerate to a pair of double roots
- In principle we could have four complex roots (two conjugate paris). Indeed, this is the root structure of the constant scalar solution


## Conclusions and Future Work

- Half BPS branch of solutions for static black holes
- NUT charge should resolve the singular superstar geometry while preserving half-BPS
- Fully BPS horizon geometries?
- Scalar hair, our ansatz has picked out certain solutions
- Euclidean continuation of general solution with running scalars, computation of on-shell action
- Partition function for general twists of ABJM
- multiple centers for ( $M, N$ ); multipole moments and/or separated M2-branes in eleven dimensions?
- BPS gauged sugra solutions with $(J, a)$ and running scalars
- Generating rotation from 3d reduction in gauged sugra

